

Oregon Area World Service Committee Meeting

Host: Virtual

February 20, 2021

Start: 8:30 am

Welcome; Serenity Prayer & Microphone & Voting Statement w/ changes for the Virtual Platform: Dawn K., Chair

- Silence cell phones and other electronic devices around you for the duration of the meeting
- To facilitate the best use of our time together – use the microphone when you address the group
- Limit Side Conversations; please listen respectfully to the discussion before the group
- Trips to the microphone are to be one time per discussion and no more than two minutes
- If your View has been previously discussed please do not come to the microphone

12 Traditions: Wendy W. DR D2; **12 Concepts & Warranties:** Kathy K. AAPP**Virtual Meeting Participation Guidelines:** Dawn K., Chair (See Attachment – *Virtual meeting Participation Guidelines*)

- One update was made to section Day of Meeting
 - “The Chat function will be set up for Host-only messages during the meeting except for discussions. This is in an effort to meet the intentions of the Assembly guidelines in the Oregon Area Handbook. If you want to share on a topic, please use the Chat window to type in “Comment,” or if you have a question, please type in “Question” and you will be called on by the Moderator if you have Voice. **Any added comments typed into the Chat window will not be read by the Moderator or Chair and will not be part of the recorded minutes for the meeting.**”
- Reason:
 - Normally when in person meetings when someone want to talk on a topic they go to the microphone, wait for their turn and then wait for the Chair to address them so that they may share their comments. What we found with the chat feature is that by having an open chat function. It allowed members to freely make comments at any time. Essentially not go through the process of going through the chair. It was not being monitored if someone had already spoke on the topic, if they had voice... So we are going to try to more closely aligning ourselves with what our guidelines say that we are supposed to do. The only things that you should type in the chat are Comment or Question. It will not be recognized because it is essentially being taken out of turn to address the group. The rest of the guidelines are the same.
- Poll – Do you accept the Virtual Meeting Participation Guidelines as presented? Everyone Votes
 - 100% acceptance

Roll Call: Deanna M. Secretary

- Excused: Helen L. DR D9, Mary W. Alateen Coordinator
- Unexcused: Julie S. DR D1, Tama S. Archives;
- Vacant Positions: District Representatives: 3, 8, 15; Communicator; Technology
- Guest: Carmen (D7), Gayle (D10)
- 25 Voting AWSC Members

Approval of Minutes: October 2020 AWSC Minutes

- No Changes requested
- Poll: Do you accept the Final Draft Minutes for the October 2020 AWSC as presented/with corrections?
 - Motion by: Dawn B. Public Information; Seconded by: Meredith D. DR D5
 - 22 yes, 0 no, 2 abstain, 1 guest
 - October 2020 AWSC Minutes were approved as presented

Treasurer’s Report: Barb B. Treasurer; (See Attachment - *Treasurer’s Report*)

- Reported:

- Comparing to the last couple years at this time, the donations are down in this first month and a half. Donations are much slower this year than last year with the pandemic.
- Remind groups that if you're collecting money to go ahead and keep sending money to the service arms. There is Oregon Area, WSO and Portland AIS if you're in the metro area.
- Remember to put following on any donations. District Number, WSO Group Number and Group Name.
 - All donations should be mailed to Barb B. Treasurer, there are still some being sent to Dawn K.
 - E-mail Barb for the mailing address: treasurer@oregonal-anon.org
- Questions:
 - Line 41: Forum Projects, we have not met so how have those happen.
 - Emma H. Forum Coordinator: 9 Forum Subscriptions were given away at a huge Forum writing workshop that was hosted by a group in District 2. The Flyer was sent out to the AWSC to invite everyone because this was a virtual event. It was well received and they want to have another in May. Not an Area Function, it was put on by Newport Happy Hour. It was open for everyone to participate.
 - The Audit Budget will talk about this with Emma off line.
 - Dawn K. Chairperson: Generally when a group has an event the group pays all the expenses. Since everything is on-line this may be different.
 - Dawn K. Chairperson is still receiving contributions and they sit until she is able to forward them onto the current Treasurer, so please let all your groups know to send to the correct treasurer. E-mail Barb for the mailing address: treasurer@oregonal-anon.org

Delegates Report – Katie W. Delegate; (See Attachment – PRAASA, Trustee Selection, CAI's)

- Announcements:
 1. NW Regional Delegate's Meeting – invitation (see attachments)
 2. No virtual Native American Al-Anon event in the works for 2021 at this point
 3. AA Regional event (PRAASA) – invitation to attend this year and volunteer at next year
- Happenings:
 1. Virtual WSC – April 12-16 (M-F) 8 hour days, agenda not yet finalized
 2. Love gifts – D17 sent bookmarks, D7 offered pens. To keep it simple and costs low, I will do a flat mailing again this year. The Panel 61 Delegates have been discussing donations to WSO instead of love gifts. Each Area, District and Group can do whatever they want
 3. CAIs
 - Questions & Comments for potential CAI's:
 - Judy J. PD41 – If I personally have a concern that I would like to send, do I send it directly to you or do I go through the links of service by going to my group, and my district and have my district send it to you?
 - Katie Delegate - Send that concern to Katie directly.
 - Stephen Y. DR D10 – Do you have any examples that you could share with us to jumpstart ideas.
 - Katie Delegate – One Delegate is bringing forward information on Al-Anon members that are also Members of AA; Electronic meetings and how to connect them to the service structure; Diversity and Bias; Virtual issues are coming forward
 - Joanne C. Group Records – How to include more Alateen in the Service Structure?
 - Meredith DR D5 – During an on-line meeting someone had an outside issue showing in their screen when they showed their picture, the GR discussed this with the person and they chose to leave the meeting. A conversation came about between what is the difference between that outside issue and someone requesting to be called he, she, them.... During the discussion someone gave a passionate statement, that is someone's identity and therefore it is not an outside issue that is their preference and we need to leave that alone.
 - David N. DR D7 – Use of social networking in Al-Anon. This will be the topic we will be raising but need to still work with the district to develop the question.

- Jennie DR D6 – After meeting in person is allowed again there are meetings that still want to be virtual or hybrid but they don't want to be attached to the WSO they want to be attached to the district so they can still have a GR and participate in the district.
 - Katie Delegate – The Policy Committee at WSO is actively working on the policy around that idea, we just don't know what that is yet, so more to come.
4. Trustee talk – Our very own Mary W. will retire her one year appointment, concluding the previous 3 year term that Cherie began. She has decided not to run for Trustee again. The WSO sought candidates for the new 3 year term, but declined to bring any forward for vote at the 2021 WSC. So the WSO will be electing a candidate for another one year appointment at the WSC this year, which will leave 2 years on the remaining term.
- So I am asking all of you to consider this: do you, or someone you know seem like a good candidate for Trustee? NW Regional Trustee sought for 2022 - August 15, 2021 is the deadline for applying. Any candidate would have to be approved by our Area process first, well in advance of that deadline. Please check your inbox for an email from me with the attachments that have been on screen today.
5. Rotation of leadership and elections - Look for this presentation at our next Assembly...
- For Questions or for more information contact Katie at oregon-delegate@oregonal-anon.org

Election Procedure Taskforce Election Procedure: – Dawn B. Public Information; (See Attachments – *Oregon Area Election Procedure*)

- As most of you know, the process of revising our election procedures began immediately after the November 2018 elections. During these elections, Bunny did an excellent job of facilitating using the current procedures, but as one assembly attendee stated in a survey: **“The process made me uncomfortable. Some of the comments on candidates were not constructive, they were mean and in some cases petty. I doubt I will ever stand for a position.”** Having participated myself in 3 Oregon Area elections, I have experienced and witnessed the hurt and embarrassment of our beloved members. It was with this in mind that I volunteered to serve on this task force.
- At the June 2020 AWSC meeting a member stated that... **“Having been through a couple of election processes, I appreciate the thought that this committee has given to the really awkward places where people can really be hurt in the way the election process is handled...”**
- The election process guiding principles can be found in the introductory paragraph which states: “To find good personal leadership, as suggested by Concept Nine, elections must be conducted with AI-Anon spiritual principles in mind, setting aside personalities. The following guidelines have been established to create a structure that will enhance the decision-making process for filling service positions. Providing Group Representatives with timely and appropriate candidate information using the Service Resume, is the cornerstone of our election process. Maintaining a spiritual tone and Higher Power connection during elections is a necessity.”*
- At the July 2020 Assembly District Representatives were instructed to **“take the document back to your GR’s and let the task force know of any changes that need to be made. Then this will be revisited at the February AWSC.”** One individual provided feedback. Despite being presented at every AWSC and Assembly, the Election Procedures have not changed since November 2020 and the Service Resume since June 2020.
- The Election Procedures Task Force, is asking AWSC to adopt these procedures and remember that **“...groups must delegate the actual operational authority to chosen service representatives who are empowered to speak and act for them.”** (Service Manual, Concept 2, pp. 178-179).
- On behalf of myself, Bunny, Lydia and Mary Lynn, thank you AWSC for this service opportunity and for your trust and support!
- Discussion:
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – The committee received some recent feedback, did any changes take place from this?

- Dawn B. Public Information – The committee didn't have time to meet to review the recent submission. I read it and it had some nuggets of things that in the future that could be useful, but it doesn't change anything substantial. This document can be tweaked if needed in the future.
- Judy J. PD41 – The vote is on Oregon Area Election Procedures which is the three page document 11/21/20.
- Rita S. DR D17 – Important for them to be voted on today because we really don't have much time until the next election, and we need time to allow members to start thinking about their next service opportunity and what is requested to stand.
- Stephen DR D10 – Heard from GR's and submitted their comments. Feel the limitations on the way of asking a question was too much of a limitation. There was not enough clarity around if you could ask one question or two questions or how long you could stay at the mic to asking questions. Secondly I was concerned after our last election procedure for the Alternate Delegate that for an officer position. The people who stand for an officer should have a minimum of one year of Oregon AWSC experience past or present. It was simply asking a lot of our area to have an officer come on board who though may have group experience level experience or met the general requirement of being a DR in another state but we expect our officers to know something about the process and the policy and the procedures and the way we conduct our business in the Oregon Area. I think it is a huge learning curve not having experience at the Area level even a minimum of one year. I am concerned that coming out of that last election process of the Alternate Delegate, it became clear as we dialoged that we needed somebody other than the actual candidate to be able to speak to what their experience, strength and hope was regarding that candidate. Anybody who could help add something to the picture so that I could hear what did candidate do in the past, what had they worked on, what was their experience, how did that person conducting their work and what were their strengths. I respect that we need to keep the conversation positive and keep the conversation from being about personalities, but we also need to be able to hear about a candidate from someone other than just from that candidate. If you just hear from the candidate, I as a candidate miss things about myself when talking about myself.
- Decision will be made here, not go to the Assembly
- Dawn B. recommends that after we go through the November Elections and we experience the process that we set up a new task force to review what worked and what didn't work and maybe tweak it again.
- Poll – Motion #1 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace all current Oregon Area Election of Officers and Coordinators voting procedures with the version developed by the Election Task Force dated 11/21/20. – Motion by Dawn B. Public Information, Seconded by: David N. DR D7
 - Yes (21); No (0); Abstain (2)
 - Motion Carried

Election Procedure Taskforce Service Resume: – Dawn B. Public Information; (See Attachments –*Service Resume*)

- Shared the 6/20/20 version of the Service resume
- Judy J. PD41 – If at the last minute someone stands and they talk do they read this resume?
 - Dawn B. Public Information – Yes they can take a blank resume up and they can fill it in as they speak if they didn't have time. This is the information we want to hear.
- Emma H. Forum/Literature – Putting the resumes on the table was a real handy thing at the assembly.
- Joanne C. Group Records – Felt it would be useful for there to be a small recap read of what the duties for that position were prior to the vote. Not reading the whole position description.
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – Do plan to send out the position descriptions prior to the Assembly.
- Poll – Motion #2 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace all current Trusted Servant Profile with the Service Resume dated 6/20/20. – Motion by Dawn B. Public Information, Seconded by: Meredith DR D5
 - Yes (22); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried

Open Positions:

- Tech Coordinator – Main responsibility would be to coordinate the Zoom Meeting and then when we go back to in person meetings this position is in charge of the sound equipment. This is open to any member. Will have an election at the March assembly for this position.
- Flyer Committee – Ask that it be current AWSC member, duties are to work with the members of the Flyer committee to review flyers and assure they meet the Oregon Area Flyer Guidelines to be posted on the Oregon Area Website. Flyer committee members are appointed by the Chairperson.
 - Meredith D. DR D5 accepted the Flyer Committee Position.

Procedure for changing the Oregon Area Handbook – Sue B. Audit Budget Chairperson (See Attachment – Recommendations for Change Procedures for the OAH & OAH Change Procedure)

- Shared procedure for Oregon Area Handbook Change Procedures document. This document was sent to all the AWSC members. The committee met and reviewed comments that came in and decided that they could be reviewed by the proposed standing committee and that the document was ready to be presented for an approval to the AWSC. This is a big task and needs a lot of help in going through this and felt that appointing a standing committee that would have up to 5 people. Thanked the committee and encourages members that want to get to know people better to join these task force. You learn a lot and create a connection with other members.
- The Oregon Area Handbook already says that the Alternate Delegate has a standing committee for this. The question is did Mary Lynn have a standing committee and was that committee passed onto Bonnie. Question was asked to Bonnie but she was not present at the time and didn't answer.
- Poll – Motion #3 – Ongoing
 - The Handbook Task Force moves that the attached page regarding making changes in the OA Handbook be accepted and placed in the handbook as Page 2 after the Title Page. – Motion by Sue B. Audit Budget Chairperson, Seconded by: David N. DR D7
 - Yes (21); No (1); Abstain (0)
 - Minority Opinion – Concept 2 & Concept 3 give the right of decision making, this sounds like they are defending something. Does AWSC pass all of these, do some go to the Assembly for the GR's. Under minor changes, it says they send it to the Alternate DR but it doesn't say if it is by e-mail or a phone call.
 - Motion Carried
- Created Standing Committee: (Similar to the flyer committee)
 - Bonnie A. Alternate Delegate heading the committee by default; Bunny G. PD38; Sue B. Audit Budget; Barb B. Treasurer; Looking for one more it can be filled here or filled by other members of the AWSC.

Website Coordinator AWSC Report - Julie G. Website Coordinator (See Attached – Website Statistics)

- Email
 - Oregonal-anon.org blocklisted by Yahoo.com and Charter.net
 - Received many questions regarding bounce back when sending emails to distribution lists (alldr, allawsc, allcoordinators, etc...)
 - Definition: Blocklist directly reflects the technical or functional mechanics related to identifying potential sources of spam and the actions (block, filter, or allow) a mailbox or filtering provider may take when processing incoming email.
(<https://help.returnpath.com/hc/enus/articles/360009987871-What-is-the-difference-between-a-blocklist-and-a-blacklist->)
 - When did this happen? We don't know.
 - Will it continue? Yes
 - Can we fix it? No
 - Can we do anything about it? - Yes, we can use alternate methods of communication
 - Options - **Just information** we do not need to make any decisions right away

- Slack
 - Stop using our domain for e-mail forwarding
- Website Launched
 - After the November 2020 Assembly
 - Provided Analytics – See Minute Attachments
- New Website Areas:
 - Service FAQ and Documents: [FAQ & Documents — Oregon Al-Anon/Alateen \(oregonal-anon.org\)](https://oregonal-anon.org)
 - Literature Depots: [Literature Depots — Oregon Al-Anon/Alateen \(oregonal-anon.org\)](https://oregonal-anon.org)
 - Logo Updated
- Technical Issues:
 - E-Mail forwarding with over 10 recipients failed due to service provider limitation – Fixed
- Comments:
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – When sending an e-mail to the All AWSC I receive several bounce backs and it appears that the entire e-mail didn't go through to anyone, yet it does. Since we know this is an issue and it seems to be just a few members that have e-mails that cause this. So if you are one of the members that use an e-mail that has been black listed then I would encourage you to get a different e-mail for area use, gmail is free. If you need help setting up a new e-mail talk to Dawn K or Julie they could help you.
 - Stephen Y. DR D10 – You don't know who it rejected so I don't know who did and who didn't get it. Appreciated the work-arounds. I just know when we want to send something out to everybody and we receive the bounce back but don't know who received it that is a problem.
 - Bunny G. PD38 – We are still looking for new photos. If there is a photo that you would like next to your group please send them to Bunny. The Website Committee is still looking for photos that represent Oregon for that first page so send those into Bunny as well.
 - Sue B. Audit Budget Chairperson - Slack is a great tool for keeping in touch with each other. Just as anything else you have to keep going to it to see if anything has been posted.
 - Kory DR16 - District 16 is just wrapping up a photo contest, in which the winners will be submitted to the Oregon Area Website to be posted. Offered the winners literature from the catalog.

Change to flyer guidelines for virtual meetings – Joanne C. Group Records *(See Attached – OAH Flyer Guideline)*

- The flyer Approval committee is receiving quite a few flyers for virtual events and there were no guidelines for this in the current Oregon Area Flyer Guidelines. So the following section is being requested to be added to the flyer guidelines to address this. This will make sure that all relevant information is on the flyer for contact or location. Nothing else has changed on the flyer guidelines just the following addition. Where it reads above criteria – it means the flyer document as previously approved in October 2019.
- Added section:

Oregon Area Electronic (Virtual) Flyer Guidelines

Flyers for electronic (virtual) events must meet all the above criteria. Please include the following specific electronic (virtual) application information:

- Website address and/or link of event or email address to request the event link/web address
 - Email address to request password (if password is required). All information necessary to login including link, meeting I.D. number, passcode, and phone call in information
- Discussion:
 - Lydia DR D11 – When doing the flyers we didn't put whether it is at a specific time. Not just having the flyer on the website, but all the information for the flyer.
 - Meredith D. DR D5 – When you have the flyer is the password included in the flyer.
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – Zoom is not providing phone call in information on the free accounts. If your event is virtual

- Stephen Y. DR D10– If a group wants to have registration and not put their link and password on the flyer is that okay.
 - Answer – Bullet one says or e-mail address to request the event link/web address.
- Charles DR D12 – It says all of the above, which says address of physical location.
 - Answer – Decided to leave it as stated and that address would be an assumed exclusion.
- Poll – Motion #4 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace the current Oregon Area Flyer Eligibility Guidelines w/ the revision of the Oregon Area Flyer Eligibility Guidelines that include Oregon Area Electronic Flyer Guidelines. – Motion by Joanne C. Group Records, Seconded by: Wendy DR D2
 - Yes (24); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried

Election Preparations – Dawn K. Chairperson

- Now that the election procedure is in place and we have approved the voting process. I would like to talk about election preparation. I would like to ask Dawn B. Public Information to have a presentation that will introduce the New Election Procedures at the March Assembly. In July I would like to have a mock election where there are three volunteers that will stand for a fictional position. They will fill out the service resume and go through the process of filling the position. We will have plants in the audience to ask questions. This will give us a chance to work out any bugs. We will have less bugs if we are meeting in person.
- Could also have people make short presentations about their positions, probably in July. Not the position description but give people an actual feel of the position. Ex: How many e-mails, time commitment ...
- Discussion:
 - Rita S. DR 17; support the mock election and the short position description presentations but also a workshop may make it easier to talk over the position.
 - Lydia S. DR D11 – Enjoyed the Job Fair that we could have a panel type discussion. Each would give a brief description of what they do and then open it up for questions. Just had a Love a Sponsorship event held virtually in this manner and it worked out great.
 - Dawn B. Public Information – Is willing to have the presentation at the Assembly as well as go over the Service Resume. It is in the guideline: Oregon Area Election Procedures under Pre-Election Assembly Preparations Bullet point 2: Throughout the election year, information and training on elections will be provided. (E.g. Service Resume, skit, procedures, job fair). The Guidelines also say that we will have one Area officer be the election Official. They will be the person that the Service Resumes are forwarded to and then they will distribute to all the DR's.
 - Bunny G. PD38 – At the District 7 District Meeting one of the GR's discussed having a Town Hall type meeting. (Town Hall Meeting is one way WSO is currently sharing information. They have a panel and ask members to submit their questions before hand. This allows for the panelist to answer all the questions possible in their short presentation, then the end is filled with question and answers.) Maybe we could do something like this for the Area Positions.
 - Deanna M. Secretary – Supports the idea of having a Town Hall that would be separate from the Assembly so that people that wanted to know more about a position they could and not have it be rushed due to Assembly time. Also having the Area Zoom account I would be willing to work with others to make this event happen.
 - Judy J. PD41 – Maybe have a presentation on Friday Night prior to assembly and invite everyone. We don't have a communicator, so maybe we could do a Service News or Voting News where everyone writes something about their position so that it could go out to everybody.
 - David N. DR7 – Would like the town hall to take place at another time not during the assembly or around that time. It would be a totally different event.
 - Julie G. Webmaster – On the Oregon Area website there is a Coordinator Page in which this could be used for people to put out information about their position. <https://www.oregonal-anon.org/coordinators-page>
- Decision

- Dawn K. Chairperson – Will meet with the Officers and Past Delegates and we will come up with a plan on how we want to proceed

Regional Trustee Nomination Process

- The Oregon Area does not have a procedure to nominate someone from our area as a trustee and approve that person as a trustee. There was a Task Force created last year but has since dissolved and we need to start a new Task Force. Asked for participants to join the task force, the participants should be AWSC members because this has to do with a position beyond the Area Level.
 - Trustee Nomination Process Task Force
 - Charge: To create a process for nominating an Area Trustee.
 - Members: Joanne C. Group Records, Dawn B. Public Information, Caralynn AIS Liaison, (Dawn K. Chairperson will ask Katie if she would be willing to be on the taskforce)

Breakout Sessions – Dawn K. Chair

- Continuing the discussion on having Break-out sessions at the Assembly. This is GR Break-Outs, DR Break-Outs and Coordinator Break-outs. The last assembly received better reviews of the time spent and appreciation for having Break-outs. Want to make sure that everyone felt that they were back on track or do we need to look at another way of doing things.
- Discussion:
 - Meredith D. DR D5 – The Virtual Assembly thought force discussed having the Break-outs on Friday night rather than assembly time. Leaving assembly time for panels and workshops. When we met in person we met on a Sunday.
 - Joanne C. Group Records – The last Coordinator Breakout was the best that they have had. Feel having an officer in there to help facilitate is useful.
 - Kory S-R DR D16 – GR's always like to have an opportunity to hear and work with other GR's across Oregon to learn for how they handle problems or difficulties. They always like that and feel it is essential to have that to get inspired by others.
 - Jenni H. DR D6 – GR's love the GR Break-out that is the highlight of the Assembly. Wanted to get together with other GR's and discuss what is going on, what is their problems, their challenges. What they found with the last one is that they only discussed one thing. They had a list of options and planned to discuss up to three of them but only managed to discuss one. They really wish they could get to all three topics.
 - Judy J. PD41 – Are the Coordinators and DR's getting business done. Should we count off into smaller groups to have smaller discussion with the coordinators so that they could have some input form the area and have a little brainstorming session. Break-out on Saturday night after Dinner a possibility.
 - Lydia S. DR D11 – Some Break-outs have been better than others but there is value in the DR break-outs where we can discuss what is working. Not sure GR's would come to a Friday night, they have commented that the Assembly on Saturday is a lot.
 - Rita S. DR D17 – Having some pre-planning go into the break-out, good leadership is helpful in getting discussions going. Maybe some questions that could come back to us prior to Assembly that we could put some thought into.
 - Emma H. Forum/Literature – Appreciates Break-outs it allows me to ask about some things and get support by communicating.
 - David N. DR D7 - GR's consistently comment about it being one of the high points of the assembly so what is their incentive to come on Saturday if the GR Break-out is held on Saturday. As for DR Break-outs, the better organized the more profitable the time is. It is one of the places I learned to be a better DR.

- Deanna M. Secretary – The Assembly is supposed to be Saturday and partial day Sunday. If there was a justification to separate the Break-outs out I would think that moving them to Sunday would make more sense than Friday.
- Joan F. CPC – Break-outs are great, we all need training. It would be helpful prior to the break-out if the DR's would poll their GR's and find out what areas they would like covered. That would give guidance to the facilitator to know where GR's would want the most help.
- Decision
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – It's clear that for the GR's it is an important part of the Assembly. Maybe we need to look at how we are structuring that. Mary Lynn (Past Alternate Delegate) was polling the GR's to see what they wanted to talk about and to guide the discussion to that. If you are going to have break-outs for the Coordinators and DR's it does take some planning. Someone needs to take the lead and say we are going to discuss this or this is the path of discussion. So we will continue to have Break-outs in the Assemblies. There are call-backs made by the Officers and Past Delegates and you are encouraged to share this information so that we can look at preventing the Assembly from being boring.

District 4 discussion item – Discussion regarding service by dual members – Helen (Chair invited Visitor) *(See Attached – Al-Anon Member Who are Also AA Members)*

- A Committee in District 4 created the attached document (in the Attachments) and would like the topic of changing the Al-Anon Policy regarding Al-Anon Members who are also AA Members ability to serve beyond the Group Level and would like it presented to the Assembly.
- The subject of Dual Members Serving originated from The Courage to Change group in Salem. They sent this policy around to so many people and they all had changes. The Courage to Change group created an AdHoc committee and the purpose of the committee was to study the Al-Anon Dual Members policy issue to try to understand why it exist and why it is persistently controversial for over 60 years. The AdHoc Committee used Knowledge Based Decision Making (KBDM). Created the Document with 5 long time members wrote and added to it and then passed around 35 copies to all the members and then they held a special group conscience at the End of December. The group wants this document placed on the agenda at WSO by our Delegate as soon as possible. The committee request that the AWSC review the document and continue to move its process. Key finding was the conflict that exist is between Al-Anon Legal Corporate Policy – See the Trustee Application form vs the spirituality based fellowship. Yes Al-Anon is both a cooperation and a spiritual fellowship. The reason that this came up was not because the people that created the document wanted to be above the group level but that they wanted the chance to be. It was brought up specifically by the Men's meeting because they do not have anyone that can serve above the group level because they do not have a member that is not a Dual Member. They participate at the group level but they do not participate at the Area and WSO. You may have heard that in one of Bill W.'s introduction to Al-Anon about Service said that AA's should stay out of meetings because they would control them. That may or may not be but it also said we know just a little. Opened discussion to talk about it and ask that Katie present it when she attends WSC.
- Discussion:
 - Dawn B. Public Information – To have a whole meeting with 100% dual membership, and I wonder if because of it having so many dual members if it has drifted kind of to the side of AA, if that is the reason why. Wonder if the members who want to hear pure Al-Anon choose to go to other meetings. That would raise a red flag to me. Had a member stand for a GR position, he had been a former member of AA but no longer considered himself a member of AA. It was very controversial and ended up resigning. A few years down the road I was a guest at an AA assembly and that member had returned to AA. Not against taking this forward. I know the conference has discussed this many times and there are a lot of unknowns. We don't know why Bill W. said what he did and it would be interesting to uncover the

reasons. My personal experience is some AA members can have powerful personalities and sometimes things can drift to the AA program and they have their program.

- Wendy W. DR D2 – In my district there are a lot of people that have been long time Al-Anon members but they are also members of AA. They are willing to step up but can't. Currently it is the same people rotating through the service and we are just begging for GR's.
- Bonnie A. Alternate Delegate – I see this being taken out of the way it was intended. So people that are in other programs that AA are also being denied the ability to serve. Our intention in our program is that we are to focus on our own recovery. Our traditions are not rules and that we are supposed to work them within ourselves. If someone believes their primary 12 step program is Al-Anon and they recognize themselves who am I to tell them they are not. Who in the group is going to be the police, who in the group is supposed to make sure that other people follow the rules? That gets into control and dysfunction, manipulation, micromanaging. What I would like to do is if we are going to take this to WSO then I would like WSO to clearly define who is in charge of maintain this rule and who is in charge of informing every member.
- Meredith DR D5 – We have members coming in that have as much program in Al-Anon as they do in AA. We have a meeting that is predominantly AA members in Al-Anon. I have been looking for the Grapevine Article that Bill W. wrote on this, but in my research I have not found it. I have found many others that have been written by Bill W. and the gist of them are to not have members of AA hold a voting position because of dominance. Service is a core and stressed for someone in the other program, but we also need to educate ourselves within our groups that Service is also a part of our group as well. Some members have the handbook and can quote it but do not have the experience with coming to an assembly so they are very literal and it always goes back to AA words to describe things. We are not AA, we are Al-Anon.
- David N. DR D7 – Have a new group in the district and most are members of both programs, but they are best described as Al-Anon Nazi's, they do not tolerate drifting off of Al-Anon when in an Al-Anon meeting. We discussed this in our last District meeting.
- Joanne C. Group Records – This has been a tradition since Bill and Lois, AA's don't serve beyond the Group Level. AA's have their own service structure they can serve in. Was shocked to read that we don't have any proof of what Bill said, just because Lois said it. As far as who is the Al-Anon Police, there is such thing as obedience to the unenforceable. You know the right thing to do, you just do it.
- Lydia S. DR D11 – There are lots of different service positions that dual members can do. I have asked GR's to put the word out that anyone can help with an event. There are other ways that dual members can serve without it being a voting position.
- Stephen Y. DR D10 – There is nothing to prevent a group from sending their comments to WSO. So I am wondering what the group is expecting the AWSC to do with this information. Are we trying to dialogue if we are trying to endorse this or not? Katie mentioned at the beginning in her Delegates report that this issue of AA's serving beyond the group level has been brought up in the past and even recently for discussion at the WSC.
 - Helen - We are probably asking AWSC to yay or nay it. I am trying to get comments, not sure it is a vote. The committee will pursue it.
- Bunny G. PD38 – This subject has come up several times and I have a file of documents back to the early 70's for every time we talk about it at World Service Conference. I have a letter from the WSO that states that the group conscience still maintains the policy of what is in the service manual. It is the autonomy of the district to decide whether or not if Al-Anon members that are members of AA can serve.
- Judy J. PD41 – I know areas that have dual members that serve as coordinators. On Page 156 our Service Manual reads: "All Al-Anon members except those that are members of AA are eligible to serve as Area Coordinators." Cannot serve if/as it leads to Delegate.

- Stephen Y. DR D10 – Clarifying question – Service Manual – Pg 87. “Filling service positions is a matter of District and Area autonomy, within this policy and the spiritual principles that form its basis. Tradition Four grants autonomy to local service arms, except in matters affecting Al-Anon or A.A. as a whole. Al-Anon members who are also members of A.A. participate fully in all group activities, including group conscience decisions. However, they do not hold Al-Anon service positions that vote in matters affecting another group or Al-Anon or A.A. as a whole.” Thus any member of AWSC is a voting member and could affect other groups and Al-Anon as a whole.
- Wendy W. DR D2 – So hearing what Stephen just read does that mean they could be a GR?
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – No because a GR votes at the district level and that affects more than one group.
- Decision:
 - Helen requested that Bunny send on some of the recent information she has collected on this subject from WSO/WSC. Helen will take back all the comments to the committee. The fact that people really want to serve above the group level and we have the question of what do we know, what we think we know, what would we like to know. Would like this group to consider it and would like to hear what I can take back to my group because they have put a whole a bunch of work into it. Helen requested the entire document be sent to WSC to be given to the delegate for presentation. There is a forum article that is less than 500 words coming out.
 - Dawn K. Chairperson – The original idea was that this would go to Assembly but do not feel we are there yet. Suggested Helen take the information back to the members that she is working with and we will give it some further consideration in June. Dawn will confer with Katie, and get her thoughts and see if it is something she would take to WSO or what her thoughts are on the path.

AWSC Manual Study Group – Deanna M. Secretary

- Proposal for Oregon Area Al-Anon Sponsored Service Study Group
 - In April of 2020 a few of us began meeting weekly to read from the Al-Anon Family Groups Service Manual over a virtual platform. This meeting is for any interested individuals wanting to take part in listening, reading or having conversations about information read in the Al-Anon Service Manual. Messages were sent to All AWSC members inviting them and request they pass the information on to any member. By hosting this virtual, it allowed all Districts and members to take part without Travel or Pandemic standing in the way.

Currently the group is nearing the end of the Manual and we discussed what to do next. We decided we would read the Oregon Area Handbook in the same manner to gain a better understanding. Additionally we may go over the WSC Conference Summary in the future. We wanted to reopen the invite to All AWSC members and anyone interested in Service at the Area level and or a better understanding of what is in the handbook and why Oregon does things certain ways.

To make the information available to all interested members we would like to ask the Area to Sponsor (by permission only) the meeting. This would associate the meeting with a link of Service and allow the virtual meeting link or a flyer to be posted on the website in accordance with the Oregon Area Flyer Guidelines because the group is not registered with WSO. Similar to other Oregon Area Manual Studies.

This will be held on Thursday Evenings from 7-8pm
 - People have brought up in the past why doesn't a district host it. Currently we have members from District 5, 7, 10, 11, and 17 attending. This is not a district specific thing. We are trying to encourage more understanding of Oregon Area Service.
 - Slowly read the documents out loud and if someone has a question we stop and try and answer it together.
- Discussion:

- Rita S. DR D17 – This would be good to put a flyer out and let people know it is happening. The manual study that I have been attending is very helpful.
- David N. DR D7 – Has attended and learned huge amounts. Being able to study the Oregon Area Handbook is a fabulous idea.
- Bunny G. PD38 – Been attending and been around a long while and I am still remembering and or learning things.
- Judy J. PD41 – Why can't it be under the umbrella of the District. Think that it is a really good idea to read the handbook but believe that this should be done as workshops at the AWSC not as a manual study.
 - Deanna M. – There is no financial bind for the Area, the reason is to have it be under a link of service to be able to put a flyer on the website and send the word out. As we learned earlier in the panel that if we are not under a link of service and use the area e-mail chains it becomes confusing what is Area approved and what is not and creates issues. We will have the service study no matter what and there was never the thought of having people from other states join it. It is reading Oregon Area Service documents. With the other manual studies that are sponsored specifically by a district meet in a physical location outside of the Pandemic, therefore it would limit who is able to attend. This is a virtual meeting and has no home. It is intended to invite members from across the state and encourage Oregon Area Service Knowledge. If District 10 does sponsor it then what is the drive for District 7 to bring it up at their district meeting.
- Caralynn AIS Liaison – Would love to be able to participate in a virtual service study.
- Stephen Y. DR D10 – It is a great way to allow members that want to serve at the AWSC level. They could advertise it as a way to bring members into service.
- Poll – Motion #5 – One Time
 - I move that the Oregon Area AWSC sponsor a Virtual AI-Anon Service Study Group for a 1 year trial. The group will read and review, The Oregon Area Handbook, WSC Conference Summary, & AI-Anon /Alateen Service Manual. – Motion by: Deanna M. Secretary, Seconded by: Bunny G. PD38
 - Yes (21); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried

NWRDM Past Delegate allowance discussion – Dawn K. Chairperson

- There is a line item on the Oregon Area Budget for the attendance expense giveaway for a Past Delegate to attend the NWRDM (Northwest Regional Delegate Meeting). In the past the Area sent one active past Delegate to the NWRDM. In a Motion last panel (October 2018) was carried to have one Past Delegate attend or allow all who plan to share the funds equally. (See Motion Below) The issue is that the Motion discusses who is allotted the reimbursement but doesn't clarify how much the amount should be. In my time as treasure and on Audit Budget we always budgeted the amount as the cost of one person to go, now that we have changed how that is being dispersed we don't have a clear direction of how this should be budgeted for. We could set a flat limit, we could of a certain amount of money every year and just have to adjust it for cost of travel. We could say that it is the equivalent of one person's estimated expenses or go some other ways.
 - **Motion #2: New Past Delegates' process to fund trip to Northwest Regional Delegates' Meeting:** First identify all those that want to go (of those willing), then determine which process to use. 1) Pull name out of hat, or 2) Share funds equally. Must have unanimous consent to choose #2. Motion by: Regis P.; Second by: Deanna M. Motion carried.
- Discussion
 - Judy J. PD41 – I remember it being the same amount as the budgeted expense for the Delegate to attend.
 - Joanne C – Group Records – As far as the set amount how does that work when they go to different locations. The airfare and expenses are different depending on where they go. How would you set a certain amount, don't feel that would work.

- Bunny G. PD38 – This started because I had won it like 4 years in a row and so that was one of the reasons that this came up. I felt it was more prudent to share it with the other Delegate which was Judy at the time. Now we will hopefully have Katie after this year. I was willing to split it however or go on my own.
- Deanna M Secretary – I am on the Audit Budget and the confusion came when we went to set the budget amount for this. The motion doesn't specify how much will be allocated. Does the amount match what the Delegate proposed cost for their budget? Is it the cost of the Highest Past Delegate proposed cost? (Assuming it cost more to fly from Medford than Portland). Does the treasurer pay what the highest amount was and then if they decided to split that or do we have a set amount say \$1000 and split that if they chose to do it that ways. When we do an audit we do not want to have to question the Treasurer if they gave too much money. Then if we do a flat rate and now split between three eligible past Delegates the amount becomes quite small.
- Sue B. Audit Budget Chair – This has changed back and forth. Sometimes we have had one Delegate and sometimes we had three. Most recently we have not had as many. There was one year a couple years ago where we had three. The budget is an allocation based on what the Delegate and Past Delegates determine what an average cost will be to get to the next years NWRDM. That is the amount they get reimbursed. In the past we drew a name out of the hat. Whatever the cost they were not to go above the budgeted amount. Then we had a year with three eligible Delegates. The Budget was adjusted get an amount that would allow all three to attend. I think we need to go back to the original idea of one past Delegate. Or do we need to go back through and decide if we are going to send two Delegates. The amount is what we budget. Is it the budget that will be split or we agree that the number of people will go? I do not think the highest cost or anything should get into it. We figure out the cost will be and then they have to live within the budget they give us.
- Judy J. PD41 – I do not remember it the way Sue was saying. The NWRDM is put on by Past Delegates. Oregon Area decided to send one past Delegate, so whatever the budgeted cost for the Delegate was we would match that amount for the past Delegate to attend. Anybody that was eligible we would draw out one. Bunny's name was being drawn multiple times and we were both going, so one year we decided to split the amount. Was told but the Audit Budget that we couldn't do that and so there was a motion made to allow that to happen. The Decision at the assembly was that we could split the money. I like the Area allowing an allotment and as many active past Delegates that can attend are able. We have to pay the difference ourselves. We are going to go anyway.
 - Sue B. Audit Budget Chairperson – Because it was being split the budget was being made for \$1000 which is not the cost of one person going it was the cost of getting more people to go. This way it would give them an amount to spend. The original motion was for one person though and that one person can do what they can with the budget they had, split it or use it. We are not going to reimburse them for more than there cost. We left it open that two or three could split it. So then the next year \$1500 was put in the budget. That is the issue is that we are not doing the same thing every year.
- Wendy W. DR D2 – What was the budget for the Delegate, was that \$1000 also?
 - It is not believed so but the Delegates cost is part of the Delegate Travel Expenses and not a separate line item.
- Deanna M. Secretary – Clarifying question, (Assuming only one is going) the budgeted line amount is a guestimate made in September for an event that happens in March of the following year. What I heard Sue say if that the amount on that line is the maximum that the Past Delegate will be reimbursed but the Motion says one Past Delegates Expenses. How can that amount not be adjusted.
 - Sue B. Audit Budget – In preparing for the Budget we sent a query of where the NWRDM meeting was going to be and how much they thought the trip would cost them to attend. Based upon the information that they gave us that amount was budgeted, no more, no less. Traditionally it has been the same for the Delegate and the Past Delegate. Last year we budgeted \$1000 for the Delegate but we budgeted \$1500 for the Past Delegate. What we are trying to do is figure out the reasoning for that.

- Kathy K. AAPP – Is there a benefit to the Area to having more than one past Delegate go?
 - Judy J. It is a benefit to the NWDM, because the more Past Delegates that come they can give more experience and input. It is important the more Past Delegates that go to support the Delegate and the entire meeting.
- Bunny G. PD38 – Maybe this is something that Audit Budget can review and propose something. There are some areas that send all their Past Delegates and then there are some areas that don't send any. So I am always grateful that Oregon Area sends one. The more we can send, the more information and support we can give the new Delegates.
- Lydia S. DR D11 – That amount we come up every year is different depending on where the NWRDM is held. Can we make it a flexible amount if three members want to go?
 - As Audit Budget we really want the guidance to know how to plan.
- David N. DR D7 – The only question we are addressing is how many do we want to send.
- Stephen Y. DR D10 – Why is the cost different between the Delegate and the Past Delegate to go and then we split it or rotate.
 - Traditionally the same amount is in the Past Delegates line as in the Delegates Line.
- Judy J. PD41 – Need a decision how much to put in the line item, it is up to the Audit Budget to decide how much should be in there.
- Dawn B. Public Information – What is our intention around sending the Past Delegates to this conference? Is it to send as many as we can or is it to send one. Then Audit Budget will decide the amount.
- Sue B. Audit Budget - It is a great benefit that the new Delegates get to gain experience from past delegate but an additional benefit that we get is usually a five minute presentation at an assembly. There are several things to be weighted for the benefits for the Area and the NWRDM.
- Bonnie A. Alternate Delegate – The process is already set up, do we want individual budgets or to match the Delegate. .
- Decision:
 - It appears the intention was that we reimburse one Past Delegate's expenses to attend the NWRDM each year and that morphed into splitting reimbursement between those going for everyone's benefit. There are two questions that we need to decide.
 - How many Past Delegates if any do we want to send to the NWRDM?
 - If the previous answer is one or more than how does Audit Budget determine the amount of reimbursement.
 - Need to clarify how many Past Delegates trips are we budgeting for?
 - Determine what our goal is.
 - Table this because it will not be incurring any cost because it is virtual. This will be revisited in June and Audit Budget will work up a recommendation.
- Poll – NWRDM Past Delegates Allowance – Would you suggest the Audit Budget consider how many Past Delegates the area should be budgeting for reimbursement for NWRDM.
 - Look at reimbursing the cost up to one Past Delegate (8 votes)
 - Look at reimbursing all Past Delegates that want to go (12 votes)
 - Abstain (1 vote)
- The poll is for a greater guidance to the Audit Budget on that subject.

Virtual Meeting Thought force – Meredith D. DR D5

- Thought Force to Improve Zoom Assembly; Members: Barb B. Treasurer, Bonnie W. GR D10, Meredith D. DR D5
- The Thought Force was given information from the AWSC Board Meeting gained from input on calls to DRs post 2 Assemblies and our Delegate. These comments are:
 1. Too long
 2. Too much information for the Assembly
 3. Not appealing

4. Disabling chat
 5. Better Use of raised hand for speaking
 6. Use of website for some business in advance
 7. Dawn and Deanna to delegate more (increase cohosts)
 8. Table items for another meeting
- Barb had attended an AA Assembly to observe how their zoom meeting was managed. Additional comments from Bonnie and Meredith and their Districts.
 - Ideas to improve our Zoom Assembly are:
 - Utilizing more cohosts to manage the tech relieving Dawn and Deanna for their specific focus. Cohosts would help with entry renaming, muting, questions and coming to the virtual microphone. Suggest 4-5 cohosts. AWSC to assign duties to each cohost and that they meet prior to Assembly to assign tasks. Thought suggestions are:
 - Bunny to continue to share screen
 - Monitoring mute for participants
 - Monitor/ manage telephone participants
 - Raised hands communicating order to Dawn

Additional suggestions:

1. Disabling chat to avoid crosstalk during meeting
2. Use of raised hand for speaking
3. AWSC to define methods to accomplish some business in advance and distribution of this information.
4. Use Friday night to do DR, Coordinators, & GR Breakouts separating this activity from the Assembly freeing time on Saturday for workshops.
5. Asking participants to stop their video during the meeting unless speaking so that there is less distraction on the screen. We would hope by now that enough group experience on zoom meetings has occurred so that participants would be able to step away, stretch if so inclined to reduce boredom and attention, much like we do in brick and mortar.
6. Adding a disruption statement to the Virtual Meeting Guidelines as well as announcing at the beginning of the meeting. *“if there is a disruption, please be patient; we may need to put everyone on hold while we figure it out.”*

Comments:

- Meredith D. DR D5 – We are doing process improvement as we hold each one of these virtual area meetings and have already made several improvements as an Area.
- Dawn B. Public Information – If somebody has not turned off their camera and they are driving down the road and they are being very distracting because they are not holding still.
 - Meredith D. DR D5 – Add this to the assembly etiquette.
- Bunny G. PD38 – Anyone that is a co-host can stop the video of someone that is being distracting. If you are going to be moving around you stop your video.
- Dawn K. Chairperson – I kind of rely on seeing the faces to get that sense of engagement. It can be difficult to judge what our next step should be. I think it would be tough to ask everyone to shut off their video. When we are having open discussions, it is helpful to see faces.
- Deanna M. Secretary – I have a fear of cutting off someone’s camera, and being accused of silencing them. Would want this specified and written in the Virtual Participation Guidelines. Same thing when we have someone going over the limit. There has to be a way to silence their microphone but it needs to be written down. As for having 5 co-host, cohost will not be able to do polls and it increased the additional work. We have made a great improvement shutting down the chat to just the host. As for the March Assembly I am planning to go to Barb B.s house and have a second monitor between us so that Barb could potentially be the person to call

out the questions and comments. Also potentially she will be able to accept the text and additional votes. This would relieve a lot.

- Bunny G. PD38 – May need a 2 minute time keeper.
- Lydia S. DR D11 – At Assembly it is the GR's that vote. We could have more cohost at Assembly and not have to worry about the poll
- Stephen Y. DR D10 – Was there a suggestion to all turn our videos off during the entire event? Did you talk about if we want to continue to do one or two of these kind of virtual meetings after the pandemic is over?
 - Yes we were considering having the video muted, it wasn't part of the scope of the thought force to look into future meetings.
- Bonnie A. Alternate Delegate – You might want to turn off the feature of making yourself look like a rabbit. Should disable this to keep professionalism.
- Decision
 - Take this to assembly to discuss.

AWSC Locations for 2021

- March Assembly will be March 20th – Virtual
- June AWSC will be June 19th – Virtual (Was in person in District 7, took a poll and decided to be virtual)
 - Poll – Group Conscience
 - How would you prefer the June AWSC be held?
 - In Person (3 votes); Virtual (19 votes); Abstain (0 votes)
- July Assembly – Not voted on yet
- October AWSC will be October 9th (Second Saturday) – Hosted by District 17 (We will discuss in June if this should be virtual or in person.) Due to a conference conflict that affects several AWSC Members a decision needed to be made if it will be held on the second or third week of October.
 - Poll – Group Conscience
 - Are you willing to have the October AWSC on October 9th, the second week of October rather than the third?
 - Yes, October 9th (2nd week) (17 votes); No, want third week (3 votes); Abstain (2 votes)

Additional Discussion Items

Break-Outs

No Breakouts

March Virtual Assembly Agenda:

- Dawn K AWSC Recap
 - Service Manual Study
- Voting procedures workshop
- Service Resume
- Dawn B on Voting Process & Service Resume – 45 minutes
- Presentation 7th tradition AB – 30 min
- Service Sponsorship workshop. Rita S – Caralynn participate – 45 min
- Group Breakouts
- DR are to give reports at march assembly – Live
- Presentation on how to work with group records – 20 min – Joanne
- Dawn B – Public Information – 30 min
- AB report – Sue B
- Kathy K – DR Break-out recertification of AMAIS

- Emma H Literature; Norma will give a book report – 5 min
- Treasurers report – Barb B
- Stephen – Future of the SSM
- AWSC recap
- Recap – Service Manual Study –
- Tech Coordinator election
- NWRDM recap – Bunny/Judy
- March one day virtual –
- Bid March 2022
- July and November Assembly Bid
- DR, GR, and Coordinator Break-out – Officers will be in each breakout.
- GR's Alt Delegate
- DR – Do you have a topic?
- Stephen will lead DR break-out; DR want a non DR facilitator – Kathy K will be a facilitator
- Joanne C – Lead the coordinator break-out
- Virtual meeting improvement
- Town Hall – Is it going to happen when – Need the buy in? July will include some kind of presentation in their job.

Upcoming Events:

- March 13, 2021 – Northwest Regional Delegates Meeting (open – virtual)
- March 20, 2021 – Assembly (virtual)

3:20pm Close with AI-Anon Declaration**Task Forces:**

- Trustee Nomination Process Task Force
 - Charge: To create a process for nominating an Area Trustee.
 - Members: Joanne C. Group Records, Dawn B. Public Information, Caralynn AIS Liaison, (Dawn K. Chairperson will ask Katie if she would be willing to be on the taskforce)

Motions:

- Motion #1 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace all current Oregon Area Election of Officers and Coordinators voting procedures with the version developed by the Election Task Force dated 11/21/20. – Motion by Dawn B. Public Information, Seconded by: David N. DR D11
 - Yes (21); No (0); Abstain (2)
 - Motion Carried
- Motion #2 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace all current Trusted Servant Profile with the Service Resume dated 6/20/20. – Motion by Dawn B. Public Information, Seconded by: Meredith DR D5
 - Yes (22); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried
- Motion #3 – Ongoing
 - The Handbook Task Force moves that the attached page regarding making changes in the OA Handbook be accepted and placed in the handbook as Page 2 after the Title Page. – Motion by Sue B. Audit Budget Chairperson, Seconded by: David N. DR D7
 - Yes (21); No (1); Abstain (0)

- Motion Carried
- Motion #4 – Ongoing
 - I move to replace the current Oregon Area Flyer Eligibility Guidelines w/ the revision of the Oregon Area Flyer Eligibility Guidelines that include Oregon Area Electronic Flyer Guidelines. – Motion by Joanne C. Group Records, Seconded by: Wendy DR D2
 - Yes (24); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried
- Motion #5 – One Time
 - I move that the Oregon Area AWSC sponsor a Virtual Al-Anon Service Study Group for a 1 year trial. The group will read and review, The Oregon Area Handbook, WSC Conference Summary, & Al-Anon /Alateen Service Manual. – Motion by: Deanna M. Secretary, Seconded by: Bunny G. PD38
 - Yes (21); No (0); Abstain (1)
 - Motion Carried